THE MYTH OF RISK

BY MICHAEL SUNDERLIN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

11.2 Revealing Hidden Harm

11.3 Restoring Autonomy

11.4 The Structural End of “Risk”

PREFACE

This book clarifies a structural error in how modern systems describe danger, responsibility, and consequence. The word “risk” is treated as symmetrical, voluntary, and evenly distributed. In practice, it conceals asymmetry, removes agency, and obscures the accumulation of harm.

The purpose of this book is to replace that collapsed language with a precise structural lexicon. The terms introduced here—burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy gradient, depletion, harm load, and benefit priority—describe the actual forces acting on individuals and communities within extractive environments. These terms do not rely on financial metaphors. They do not imply symmetry where none exists. They do not collapse embodied harm into abstract cost.

This book does not argue for or against any political or economic system. It does not prescribe solutions. It does not offer moral judgments. Its function is narrower and more stable: to map the mechanics by which harm is distributed, disguised, and justified through language.

Readers will encounter diagrams, definitions, and structural relationships. These are presented without narrative framing. They are intended to be used as tools for analysis, not as commentary. The book is designed to be read linearly or consulted non-linearly. Each chapter is self-contained, though the lexicon introduced early in the text is foundational.

The goal is clarity. The method is structural. The subject is the myth of risk.

CHAPTER 1 — THE FRAME

1.1 Purpose of the Book

The purpose of this book is to clarify how the word “risk” functions as a structural distortion. In contemporary systems, “risk” is treated as if it were symmetrical, voluntary, and evenly distributed. This framing conceals the actual forces acting on individuals and communities. The book replaces this collapsed language with a precise structural lexicon that describes those forces directly.

The goal is not to argue for or against any system. The goal is to make the underlying mechanics visible.

1.2 Why “Risk” Collapses Morally

The term “risk” collapses because it implies conditions that do not exist in extractive environments. It implies choice where there is no choice, symmetry where there is asymmetry, and shared exposure where exposure is uneven.

When insulated exposure is labeled as “risk,” the true exposure carried by those with the least autonomy becomes invisible. This collapse prevents clear analysis and enables the justification of harm.

1.3 The Core Thesis

The core thesis of this book is that “risk,” as used by those with power, is a myth. It is a rhetorical inversion that disguises insulated exposure as danger and reframes true exposure as opportunity. This inversion hides the accumulation of harm load and legitimizes extraction.

The book presents a structural model that replaces the myth of risk with a clear description of the forces that actually operate.

1.4 The Need for a New Lexicon

A new lexicon is required because existing language collapses structural asymmetry into financial symmetry. Terms such as risk, cost, investment, and opportunity obscure the distribution of harm and the removal of autonomy.

The lexicon introduced in this book—burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy gradient, depletion, harm load, and benefit priority—provides a non-financial, non-moral vocabulary for describing extractive systems with precision.

This lexicon is foundational. It is used throughout the book and forms the basis for all diagrams and structural relationships that follow.

CHAPTER 2 — THE STRUCTURAL LEXICON

2.1 Burden

Burden is the weight placed on a body or community by an imposed system. It is not chosen. It is placed. Burden includes physical strain, environmental contact, generational exhaustion, and the ongoing demands required to remain within a system that offers limited or no alternatives. Burden is a force, not a transaction.

2.2 Exposure

Exposure is the degree of direct contact with danger, toxicity, or harm. It identifies who is physically closest to damaging conditions and who absorbs the immediate consequences. Exposure is spatial, embodied, and non-abstract. It is not evenly distributed.

2.3 Extraction Pressure

Extraction pressure is the structural force that removes real choice. It includes poverty, lack of alternatives, coercive economies, political instability, and any condition that makes refusal costly or impossible. Extraction pressure determines whether participation is voluntary or manufactured.

2.4 Autonomy Gradient

The autonomy gradient is the difference in freedom between groups to refuse, negotiate, or walk away. It maps asymmetry in agency and self-determination. The gradient reveals who has options and who does not. It is a structural measure, not a psychological one.

2.5 Depletion

Depletion is the long-term loss of land, health, culture, or future capacity. It describes the erosion of what sustains a community across generations. Depletion is cumulative and often irreversible on human timescales. It is a structural outcome, not an event.

2.6 Harm Load (Integrated Result)

Harm load is the accumulated result of burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy loss, and depletion acting over time. It is not a single condition. It is the integral of structural forces across a lifespan or across generations. Harm load cannot be offset, compensated, or neutralized through financial framing. It is lived.

2.7 Benefit Priority

Benefit priority is the principle that those who carry the greatest harm load must receive the first and highest benefit, and only if they freely choose to

participate. It reverses the usual flow of value in extractive systems. Benefit priority is a structural correction, not a reward.

CHAPTER 3 — INSULATED VS. TRUE EXPOSURE

3.1 Insulated Exposure (Power-Holder Domain)

Insulated exposure is the condition in which entities with capital, legal protection, or institutional backing experience controlled fluctuation rather than actual danger. Their exposure is hedged, diversified, insured, buffered, and reversible. Insulated exposure is often described as “risk,” even though the consequences are limited, distributed, or externalized. It is a managed condition, not a hazard.

3.2 True Exposure (Labor & Community Domain)

True exposure is the condition in which individuals and communities encounter direct bodily danger, environmental toxicity, generational depletion, and irreversible harm. True exposure is uninsurable and uncompensated. It is not distributed or buffered. It is absorbed by those with the least autonomy and the fewest alternatives. True exposure is the primary contributor to harm load.

3.3 Why Insulated Exposure Is Labeled “Risk”

Insulated exposure is labeled “risk” because the term implies courage, voluntariness, and shared stakes. This framing legitimizes extraction by portraying insulated actors as bearing danger. The label obscures the

structural protections that prevent real harm. It converts controlled fluctuation into a narrative of sacrifice, even when no sacrifice occurs.

3.4 Why True Exposure Is Erased

True exposure is erased because acknowledging it would reveal the asymmetry of harm distribution. Systems that rely on extraction require the invisibility of those who absorb danger. Erasure occurs through language collapse, financial framing, and the assumption that participation is voluntary. When true exposure is unnamed, harm load becomes unrecognized and unaccounted for.

3.5 The Structural Asymmetry

The asymmetry between insulated and true exposure is the core structural divide in extractive systems. Insulated actors claim “risk” while absorbing minimal harm. Exposed actors absorb harm while receiving minimal benefit. This asymmetry is maintained through autonomy gradients, extraction pressure, and the rhetorical inversion that misassigns danger.

The distinction between insulated exposure and true exposure is foundational. It reveals why the term “risk” cannot describe both conditions without collapsing their differences.

CHAPTER 4 — THE INVERSION ENGINE

4.1 The Rhetorical Inversion

The rhetorical inversion is the mechanism by which insulated exposure is framed as danger and true exposure is reframed as opportunity. It reverses the assignment of harm and responsibility. The inversion allows those with structural protection to claim the language of sacrifice while those who absorb actual harm remain unnamed. This inversion is not accidental. It is a systemic feature of extractive environments.

4.2 The Symmetry Illusion

The symmetry illusion is the false belief that all participants in a system face comparable conditions. It arises when language collapses structural differences into a single category. When insulated exposure and true exposure are both labeled as “risk,” the gradient between them disappears. The illusion creates the appearance of fairness even when the underlying structure is asymmetric.

4.3 The Legitimization Loop

The legitimization loop is the cycle through which the inversion sustains itself. Insulated actors claim “risk,” receive recognition or reward for bearing it, and use that recognition to justify further extraction. The loop

converts structural protection into moral authority. It reinforces the idea that those who benefit most are also those who have “earned” the benefit, despite absorbing minimal harm.

4.4 How Harm Load Becomes “Cost”

Harm load becomes “cost” when embodied, cumulative harm is reframed as a financial abstraction. This conversion erases the lived reality of depletion, exposure, and autonomy loss. Once harm is translated into cost, it can be offset, minimized, or externalized. The structural forces that produce harm load become invisible within financial language, allowing systems to treat irreversible damage as a negotiable variable.

4.5 How Extraction Pressure Becomes “Choice”

Extraction pressure becomes “choice” when the absence of alternatives is interpreted as voluntary participation. This reframing occurs when structural constraints—poverty, instability, coercive economies—are ignored. The appearance of choice is used to justify the distribution of harm. When extraction pressure is mislabeled as choice, autonomy gradients disappear from view, and the system appears consensual even when refusal is not possible.

The inversion engine operates through these mechanisms. It maintains the myth of risk by disguising structural asymmetry as shared experience.

CHAPTER 5 — THE AUTONOMY GRADIENT

5.1 Autonomy as the Real Currency

Autonomy is the capacity to refuse, negotiate, or walk away without facing harm. It is the real currency in extractive systems. Material resources, capital, and institutional power influence outcomes, but autonomy determines who can avoid danger and who must absorb it. Autonomy is structural, not psychological. It is measured by the range of viable alternatives available to a person or community.

5.2 Manufactured Choice vs. Real Choice

Real choice exists when refusal is possible without penalty. Manufactured choice exists when refusal results in harm, deprivation, or instability. Manufactured choice appears voluntary but is shaped by extraction pressure, scarcity, and structural constraint. When manufactured choice is treated as real choice, systems appear consensual even when participation is coerced by conditions.

5.3 How Extraction Pressure Removes Agency

Extraction pressure removes agency by narrowing or eliminating alternatives. Poverty, political instability, environmental degradation, and coercive economies reduce the ability to refuse harmful conditions. As extraction

pressure increases, autonomy decreases. The gradient steepens. Individuals and communities at the bottom of the gradient face true exposure because they cannot exit the system without incurring greater harm.

5.4 Mapping the Gradient

The autonomy gradient maps the distribution of agency across a system. At the top are actors with multiple viable alternatives, legal protection, and structural insulation. At the bottom are actors with limited or no alternatives, minimal protection, and direct exposure to harm. The gradient is not a moral hierarchy. It is a structural representation of who can avoid danger and who cannot.

5.5 Why Risk Cannot Be Symmetrical

Risk cannot be symmetrical across the autonomy gradient because the ability to refuse determines the distribution of harm. Insulated actors can avoid or transfer danger. Exposed actors cannot. When both conditions are labeled as "risk," the gradient disappears from view. The term collapses structural asymmetry into a false equivalence, obscuring who carries harm load and who benefits from the system.

The autonomy gradient is essential for understanding why the myth of risk persists. It reveals the structural conditions that make true exposure unavoidable for some and optional for others.

CHAPTER 6 — HARM LOAD

6.1 Harm Load as Integral, Not Event

Harm load is the accumulated result of structural forces acting over time. It is not a single incident or discrete moment. It is the integral of burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy loss, and depletion across a lifespan or across generations. Harm load describes the total impact of conditions that cannot be escaped, refused, or negotiated. It is cumulative, not episodic.

6.2 Temporal Accumulation

Harm load increases with duration. The longer a person or community remains within an extractive environment, the greater the accumulation. Temporal accumulation includes physical degradation, environmental exposure, emotional exhaustion, and the erosion of future capacity. Time amplifies harm because structural forces compound rather than reset.

6.3 Intergenerational Transmission

Harm load extends beyond the individuals who directly experience it. It transmits across generations through depleted land, reduced opportunity, chronic health conditions, cultural disruption, and inherited instability. Intergenerational transmission means harm is not confined to the present. It becomes part of the structural environment inherited by those who did not

choose it.

6.4 Irreversibility of Harm Load

Many components of harm load cannot be reversed. Lost health, degraded land, eroded autonomy, and cultural displacement do not return to their prior state. Irreversibility distinguishes harm load from financial loss. It cannot be recovered through compensation, investment, or growth. Once accumulated, it remains embedded in the system.

6.5 Why Harm Load Cannot Be Compensated

Harm load cannot be compensated because it is not equivalent to cost. Cost is a financial abstraction that can be offset or transferred. Harm load is embodied, cumulative, and often permanent. Compensation does not restore lost autonomy, reverse depletion, or undo intergenerational damage. Treating harm load as compensable collapses structural reality into financial metaphor, erasing the conditions that produced the harm.

Harm load is the central measure of extractive systems. It reveals the true distribution of danger and the long-term consequences of structural asymmetry.

CHAPTER 7 — CASE STRUCTURES

7.1 Resource Extraction

Worker Impact:

Workers experience direct exposure to physical danger, toxic materials, and unstable conditions. Burden is immediate and embodied. Extraction pressure is maintained through limited employment alternatives and geographic isolation. Harm load accumulates through injury, chronic illness, and reduced lifespan.

Community Impact:

Communities surrounding extraction sites absorb long-term environmental depletion, contaminated land and water, reduced agricultural capacity, and economic dependency on a single industry. Intergenerational harm load emerges as land becomes less viable, health outcomes worsen, and future options narrow. Insulated actors benefit from the extracted materials without encountering either the worker-level or community-level consequences.

7.2 Agricultural Labor

Worker Impact:

Agricultural workers face true exposure through physical strain, chemical contact, heat stress, and unstable income. Manufactured choice is framed as participation despite limited alternatives. Extraction pressure is reinforced by immigration status, seasonal dependency, and minimal legal protection. Harm

load manifests through injury, exhaustion, and chronic health conditions.

Community Impact:
Agricultural communities experience economic precarity, unstable housing, limited healthcare access, and the erosion of local infrastructure. Depletion occurs as communities cycle through periods of scarcity and overwork. Children inherit instability, reduced educational opportunity, and exposure to the same structural pressures. Insulated actors remain distant from the conditions that produce their food.

7.3 Industrial Manufacturing

Worker Impact:
Workers encounter exposure to machinery, pollutants, repetitive strain, and unsafe conditions. Extraction pressure arises from economic necessity and restricted mobility. The autonomy gradient is steep: refusal is costly.
Harm load includes long-term health effects, reduced mobility, and cumulative physical degradation.

Community Impact:
Manufacturing communities absorb pollution, noise, declining air quality, and economic volatility tied to plant closures or relocations. Depletion appears in the form of abandoned industrial sites, reduced property values, and weakened local economies. Intergenerational harm load emerges as children grow up in environments shaped by instability and environmental damage.

7.4 Environmental Depletion

Worker Impact:

Workers in industries that degrade ecosystems face direct exposure to contaminants, hazardous materials, and unsafe conditions. Burden is immediate and often unprotected. Extraction pressure forces continued participation even as conditions worsen. Harm load accumulates through chronic illness and repeated contact with degraded environments.

Community Impact:

Communities living near depleted ecosystems experience contaminated water, polluted air, reduced biodiversity, and declining local resources. Burden increases as residents compensate for failing infrastructure. Depletion becomes intergenerational as land, health, and opportunity erode. Insulated actors externalize the damage while retaining the benefits of development.

7.5 Technological Infrastructure

Worker Impact:

Workers in mining, assembly, logistics, and disposal environments face true exposure to toxic materials, repetitive strain, and unsafe conditions. Extraction pressure is embedded in global supply chains where refusal is not viable. Harm load accumulates through injury, chemical exposure, and unstable employment.

Community Impact:

Communities at each stage of the supply chain absorb environmental damage, economic dependency, and long-term depletion. Mining communities face land destruction; assembly communities face pollution and instability; disposal communities face toxic waste. Harm load becomes structural as entire regions are shaped by the demands of technological production. Insulated actors benefit from the technology without encountering the conditions that make it possible.

These case structures show how worker-level harm and community-level harm are linked. The same forces—burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy loss, and depletion—operate across both scales, producing cumulative harm load that insulated actors do not absorb.

CHAPTER 8 — THE COLLAPSE OF FINANCIAL LANGUAGE

8.1 Why Financial Terms Fail Structurally

Financial terms fail because they convert structural forces into abstractions. They imply symmetry, reversibility, and voluntary participation. They treat embodied harm as if it were a negotiable variable. When financial language is applied to extractive systems, it collapses the distinction between insulated exposure and true exposure. It obscures autonomy gradients and erases harm load. The failure is structural, not semantic.

8.2 “Risk” as Moral Camouflage

“Risk” functions as moral camouflage by assigning the appearance of danger to those who are structurally insulated. It reframes controlled fluctuation as sacrifice. It allows power-holders to claim courage while absorbing minimal harm. At the same time, it reframes true exposure as opportunity, implying choice where none exists. The term hides the asymmetry of harm distribution and legitimizes extraction.

8.3 “Cost” as Harm Erasure

“Cost” erases harm by translating irreversible damage into a financial quantity. Once harm becomes cost, it can be offset, minimized, or externalized. The lived reality of depletion, illness, and autonomy loss disappears behind a

numerical abstraction. Cost implies reversibility and compensation. Harm load is neither. Treating harm as cost collapses structural reality into a framework designed for transactions, not consequences.

8.4 “Investment” as Justification

“Investment” justifies extraction by framing harm as a necessary step toward future benefit. It implies that present damage will be repaid later, even when the benefits flow upward and the harm flows downward. Investment language treats depletion as progress and sacrifice as strategy. It obscures who pays and who gains. It converts structural asymmetry into a narrative of shared purpose, even when the distribution of harm and benefit is unequal.

8.5 Why the Lexicon Must Be Replaced

The lexicon must be replaced because financial language cannot describe structural asymmetry without collapsing it. Terms like risk, cost, and investment are built on assumptions of symmetry, voluntariness, and reversibility. Extractive systems violate all three. A new lexicon—burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy gradient, depletion, harm load, and benefit priority—provides a precise vocabulary that does not disguise harm or erase agency. Replacement is necessary for clarity.

The collapse of financial language is central to understanding why the myth of risk persists. Without a new lexicon, structural forces remain hidden behind abstractions that were never designed to describe them.

CHAPTER 9 — THE REPLACEMENT FRAMEWORK

9.1 Reintroducing the Canonical Lexicon

The canonical lexicon replaces financial metaphors with structural terms that describe actual forces. Burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy gradient, depletion, harm load, and benefit priority form a coherent system. Each term identifies a specific component of extractive environments without collapsing asymmetry into abstraction. Reintroducing this lexicon restores clarity by naming the forces that financial language obscures.

9.2 Describing Systems Without Financial Metaphors

Systems can be described without financial metaphors by focusing on structural conditions rather than transactions. Instead of asking what something "costs," the framework asks who absorbs harm. Instead of asking who "takes risk," it asks who faces true exposure. Instead of framing participation as "investment," it examines extraction pressure and autonomy. Removing financial metaphors reveals the actual distribution of danger, agency, and depletion.

9.3 Mapping Harm Without Money Language

Harm can be mapped structurally by tracing the flow of burden, exposure, and depletion across time and across communities. Harm load becomes the central measure, not cost. Mapping harm without money language prevents the collapse

of irreversible damage into compensable abstraction. It shows how harm accumulates, who carries it, and how it becomes intergenerational. This map makes visible what financial framing hides.

9.4 Benefit Priority as Structural Correction

Benefit priority reverses the usual flow of value in extractive systems. Those who carry the greatest harm load must receive the first and highest benefit, and only if they freely choose to participate. Benefit priority is not a moral claim. It is a structural correction that realigns systems around the actual distribution of harm. It ensures that those who absorb true exposure are not treated as expendable inputs.

9.5 Designing Systems That Do Not Hide Harm

Systems that do not hide harm are designed around transparency of burden, exposure, and autonomy. They avoid financial metaphors that collapse asymmetry. They measure harm load directly. They identify extraction pressure explicitly. They maintain clear autonomy gradients and ensure that refusal is possible without penalty. Such systems do not rely on the myth of risk to justify harm. They make structural conditions visible and correctable.

The replacement framework provides the vocabulary and structure needed to describe systems accurately. It removes the distortions created by financial language and restores the ability to see harm, agency, and asymmetry clearly.

CHAPTER 10 — ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS

10.1 Who Should Benefit First

Those who carry the greatest harm load must receive the first and highest benefit. This is not a moral claim. It is a structural correction based on the distribution of burden, exposure, extraction pressure, and depletion. When systems allocate benefit upward, they reinforce asymmetry. When benefit flows first to those who absorb true exposure, the structure realigns around actual conditions rather than abstractions.

10.2 The Principle of Voluntary Participation

Voluntary participation requires the ability to refuse without penalty. When refusal results in harm, participation is not voluntary. Extractive systems often present manufactured choice as consent. The principle of voluntary participation restores clarity by identifying autonomy as the condition that determines whether participation is real. Without autonomy, participation is coercion shaped by structure, not preference.

10.3 The Right to Refuse

The right to refuse is the structural safeguard against extraction. It protects individuals and communities from being compelled to absorb harm load. Refusal does not require justification. It is not a disruption or a failure.

It is a boundary that prevents the conversion of structural pressure into forced participation. When refusal is respected, harm distribution becomes visible and correctable.

10.4 The Right to Walk Away

The right to walk away extends refusal across time. It recognizes that conditions can change, harm can accumulate, and autonomy can erode. Walking away is the structural expression of self-preservation. Systems that prevent exit rely on extraction pressure to maintain participation. When walking away is possible without penalty, the autonomy gradient flattens and harm load decreases.

10.5 Ending the Myth

Ending the myth of risk requires replacing collapsed language with structural clarity. It requires identifying who absorbs true exposure, who benefits from insulated exposure, and how harm load accumulates across generations. The myth ends when financial metaphors are removed, autonomy gradients are mapped, and harm is described without abstraction. Ending the myth does not resolve extraction. It reveals it.

The ethical implications of this framework are structural, not prescriptive. They identify the conditions under which systems can operate without hiding harm or collapsing asymmetry into language that was never designed to describe it.

CHAPTER 11 — CLOSING FRAME

11.1 Reclaiming Language

Reclaiming language is the first step toward structural clarity. When terms collapse asymmetry into abstraction, they conceal the forces that shape lived conditions. Reclaiming language means replacing metaphors with precise descriptions of burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy gradients, depletion, and harm load. It restores the ability to see systems as they are, not as they are framed.

11.2 Revealing Hidden Harm

Hidden harm becomes visible when structural forces are named directly. Harm load can be traced across time, across communities, and across generations. Revealing hidden harm does not resolve it, but it removes the invisibility that allows extraction to continue unchallenged. When harm is visible, the distribution of danger and benefit can be understood without distortion.

11.3 Restoring Autonomy

Restoring autonomy requires identifying and reducing extraction pressure. It requires ensuring that refusal is possible without penalty and that walking away does not result in harm. Autonomy is not a moral ideal. It is a structural condition that determines whether participation is voluntary. Restoring

autonomy flattens the gradient that makes true exposure unavoidable for some and optional for others.

11.4 The Structural End of “Risk”

The structural end of “risk” occurs when the term is no longer used to describe conditions it cannot represent. When insulated exposure is no longer framed as danger, and true exposure is no longer reframed as opportunity, the myth dissolves. The end of “risk” is not the end of harm. It is the end of a language system that disguises harm. What remains is a clear description of forces, gradients, and consequences.

The closing frame returns the reader to the central purpose of the book: structural clarity. When language aligns with reality, systems can be seen, understood, and redesigned without distortion.

CONCLUSION

The structures described in this book point to a simple reality: harm is not distributed evenly, and language often hides this fact. When burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy gradients, depletion, and harm load are named directly, the shape of a system becomes clear. The forces that act on people and communities can be seen without distortion.

Clarity does not resolve these forces, but it removes the confusion that allows them to operate unnoticed. When harm is visible, it cannot be mistaken for cost. When autonomy is mapped, it cannot be mistaken for preference. When exposure is described precisely, it cannot be mistaken for shared experience.

The end of the term “risk” in this context is not a linguistic shift. It is a structural correction. It marks the point at which danger is assigned to those who face it, and insulation is recognized for what it is. With accurate language, systems can be examined without the abstractions that once concealed their effects.

GLOSSARY

Autonomy Gradient

The structural difference in the ability to refuse, negotiate, or walk away. It maps who has viable alternatives and who does not.

Benefit Priority

The principle that those who carry the greatest harm load must receive the first and highest benefit, and only if they freely choose to participate.

Burden

The weight placed on a body or community by an imposed system. It includes physical strain, environmental contact, and the ongoing demands required to remain within a system with limited alternatives.

Depletion

The long-term loss of land, health, culture, or future capacity. It is cumulative and often irreversible on human timescales.

Extraction Pressure

The structural force that removes real choice. It includes poverty, scarcity, instability, and any condition that makes refusal costly or impossible.

Exposure

The degree of direct contact with danger, toxicity, or harm. It is embodied,

spatial, and unevenly distributed.

Harm Load

The accumulated result of burden, exposure, extraction pressure, autonomy loss, and depletion acting over time. It is the integral of structural forces across a lifespan or across generations.

Insulated Exposure

A managed condition experienced by power-holders in which fluctuation is controlled, buffered, and reversible. It is often mislabeled as “risk.”

Manufactured Choice

A condition that appears voluntary but is shaped by extraction pressure. It exists when refusal results in harm.

Real Choice

A condition in which refusal is possible without penalty. It requires structural autonomy, not preference.

Resource Extraction

A domain in which workers and communities absorb direct exposure to danger and environmental depletion while insulated actors benefit from the materials.

Structural Asymmetry

The uneven distribution of harm, autonomy, and benefit within a system. It is revealed through gradients, not narratives.

True Exposure

Direct bodily danger, environmental toxicity, and irreversible harm absorbed by those with the least autonomy and the fewest alternatives.

Voluntary Participation

Participation that occurs only when refusal is possible without penalty. It is a structural condition, not a psychological state.